Before the

MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005 Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976

Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in

Website: www.mercindia.org.in / www.merc.gov.in

Case No. 156 of 2016

Date: 7 September, 2017

CORAM: Shri. Azeez M. Khan, Member

Shri. Deepak Lad, Member

In the matter of

Petition filed by M/s. Kisan Mouldings Ltd. under Sections 142, 146 & 149 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of Order dated 09.05.2013 passed by the Electricity Ombudsman (EO), Mumbai in Representation No.30 of 2013.

M/s. Kisan Mouldings Ltd.		Petitioner
V/S		
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. (MSE	EDCL)	Respondent
Appearance:		
For the Petitioner:	Shri.S.H.Jalwadi (Rep.)	
For the Respondent:	Shri.Ashish Singh (Adv.)	
For Authorized Consumer Representative:	Dr.Ashok Pendse (TBIA)	

Daily Order

Heard the Representative of the Petitioner, and Advocate of MSEDCL.

- 1. Representative of the Petitioner stated as follows:
 - i. As there are no new issues, it is not going to file any Rejoinder. However, MSEDCL has still not submitted its response in compliance with the directives issued vide Daily Order dated 14 March, 2017.
 - ii. MSEDCL has also not informed the status of the departmental enquires being conducted.
- 2. The Commission observed that details of the departmental enquiries being conducted by MSEDCL may not be relevant to the Petitioner.

- 3. Advocate of MSEDCL stated that it has forwarded its Reply to the Petitioner on 28 April, 2017, and it has been acknowledged. He further stated that MSEDCL is not bound to give the details of the departmental enquiries to the Petitioner.
- 4. To a query of the Commission, Advocate of MSEDCL replied that MSEDCL has already credited compensation amount of Rs. 10,000/- and has also removed the tapping from the 33kV dedicated line of the Petitioner as directed by the Electricity Ombudsman (EO), Mumbai in his Order dated 9 May, 2013.
- 5. Representative of the Petitioner stated that MSEDCL has not informed it of the method of settlement of compensation and has still not worked out the losses suffered by the Petitioner. To a query of the Commission, he replied that Petitioner has claimed losses of Rs. 15 lakhs / year and had submitted its calculation during the proceedings before the EO, Mumbai.
- 6. Referring to the EO, Mumbai's Order, the Commission observed that, as the issue of compensation is already decided by the EO, Mumbai, the scope of the Commission is limited only to the issue of non-compliance of the EO, Mumbai's Order in this Case.
- 7. Representative of the Petitioner sought that appropriate action under Section 149 of the EA, 2003 be initiated against MSEDCL considering the harassment and losses suffered by the Petitioner.

The Case is reserved for Order.

Sd/-(Deepak Lad) Member Sd/-(Azeez M. Khan) Member